1 2 3 4 5	JAMES C. GALLO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005959 818 S. Casino Center Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 385-3131 Facsimile: (702) 385-3130 Attorney for Defendant WALTER PRILL		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	* * *		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: 20-MJ-00699-BNW		
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO v. CONTINUE TRIAL		
13	WALTER PRILL,		
14 15	Defendant,) (Fourth Request)		
16 17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between WALTER PRILL, Defendant, by and		
18	through counsel JAMES C. GALLO, JR., ESQ.; and the United States of America, by and through,		
19	RACHEL KENT, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, and the trial currently scheduled for		
20	October 18, 2021, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be continued to a date and time to be set by this		
21	Honorable Court.		
22 23	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
24	1. Counsel for Defendant and the U.S. Attorney Rachel Kent have agreed to stipulate to		
25	continue the trial and Ms. Kent has no objection to this continuance.		
26	2. Counsel for Defendant is in a jury trial in District Court Department X, on case		
27	number C-19-340899-2, for and estimated two-week trial that started on October 11,		
28			
	2021.		

Case 2:20-mj-00699-BNW Document 25 Filed 10/18/21 Page 2 of 4

1	3. Denial for this request for	or continuance would deny the parties herein time and the
2	opportunity within which	to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial
3	in this case, taking into ac	count the exercise of due diligence.
4	4. Additionally, denial of th	is request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of
5	justice.	
6 7	l	
8	5. For all the above-stated	reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a
9	continuance of the trial da	ate.
10	6. The additional time reque	sted by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time
11	within which the trial her	rein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18
12	U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), c	onsidering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i)
13	and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).	
14	7. This is the fourth request	for a continuance of the trial date in this case.
15	DATED this 15 th day of Octob	er 2021
16		Respectfully submitted.
17		
18 19	GALLO LAW OFFICE	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
20		
21	/S/ James C. Gallo	/S/ Rachel Kent
22	JAMES C. GALLO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005959	RACHEL KENT, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney
	818 S. Casino Center Blvd.	Nevada Bar No
23	Las Vegas, NV 89101	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South. #1100
24	Attorney for Defendant WALTER PRILL	Las Vegas, NV 89101
25		
26		
.		

28

2	Nevada bar No. 005959		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 385-3131		
4	Telephone: (702) 385-3131 Facsimile: (702) 385-3130 Attorney for Defendant		
5	Attorney for Defendant WALTER PRIL		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	* * *		
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: 20-MJ-00699-BNW		
11	Plaintiff,) ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL		
12	v.)		
13	WALTER PRILL,		
14	Defendant,)		
15) (Fourth Request)		
16			
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
19	Court finds:		
20	Counsel for Defendant and the U.S. Attorney Rachel Kent have agreed to stipulate to		
21	continue the trial and Ms. Kent has no objection to this continuance.		
22	·		
23	2. Counsel for Defendant is in a jury trial in District Court Department X, on case		
24	number C-19-340899-2, for an estimated two-week trial that started on October 11,		
25	2021.		
26	3. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the		
27	opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial		
28			
	in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.		

- 4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 5. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B) (i) and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 7. This is the fourth request for a continuance of the trial date in this case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (B)(i), considering the factors under title 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

-4-

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 9:31 am, October 18, 2021

BRENDA WEKSLER

DRENDA WERSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE